

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/023,115 | 12/13/2001 | Neal Becker | PD-980211A | 3494 | |
| 7590 07/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Hughes Electronics Corporation | | | LUGO, D | LUGO, DAVID B | |
| Patent Docket Administration | | | ADTIBUT | DADED MUMBED | |
| Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 956 | | | 2611 | | |
| El Segundo, CA 90245-0956 | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2000 | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/023,115 | BECKER ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | David B. Lugo | 2611 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · · | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory po Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Dan | d B. Lugo | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)